

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/916,532	Applicant(s) NASU ET AL.
	Examiner Peter A. Hruskoci	Art Unit 1724

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(3) _____.

(2) Abraham J. Rosner.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:***Prior art rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 4/12/04***Claims discussed:***All***Prior art documents discussed:***Prior Art of Record***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***It was agreed to amend the claims and cancel claims 9 and 36 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record, and for the sake of clarity and accuracy.***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)